International Journal of Frontline Research in
Engineering and Technology
Journal homepage: https://frontlinejournals.com/ijfret/
ISSN: 2945-4840 (Online)

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Cognitive architectures for autonomous robots: Towards human-level autonomy and beyond.

Morayo Ogunsina [1, *], Christianah Pelumi Efunniyi [2], Olajide Soji Osundare [3], Samuel Olaoluwa Folorunsho [4] and Lucy Anthony Akwawa [5]

[1] *Independent Researcher, Arizona, USA.*
[2] *One Advanced, UK.*
[3] *Nigeria Inter-bank Settlement System Plc (NIBSS), Nigeria.*
[4] *Independent Researcher, London, UK.*
[5] *Information Systems - Business Analytics, Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti, Michigan, USA.*

International Journal of Frontline Research in Engineering and Technology, 2024, 02(01), 041–050

Publication history: Received on 17 July 2024; revised on 26 August 2024; accepted on 29 August 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.56355/ijfret.2024.2.1.0021

Abstract

Achieving human-level autonomy in robots is a complex and multifaceted challenge that requires the development of advanced cognitive architectures. This paper proposes a comprehensive cognitive architecture designed to integrate perception, memory, and decision-making processes, thereby enhancing the adaptability and intelligence of autonomous robots. The proposed architecture is critically examined in terms of its ability to address limitations in existing models, particularly in industrial and social applications. Through a detailed analysis, the paper explores the innovative features of this architecture, such as multimodal perception and continuous learning. It discusses its scalability and flexibility across various domains. The paper also takes a look at the ethical and societal implications of achieving human-level autonomy, emphasizing the need for robust safety protocols and thoughtful integration into human environments. Finally, the paper outlines the ongoing challenges in the field and suggests future research directions to advance the development of autonomous systems further.

Keywords: Cognitive architecture; Human-level autonomy; Autonomous robots; Perception and decision-making; Continuous learning; Ethical robotics

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview of Human-Level Autonomy in Robotics

Human-level autonomy in robotics represents a pinnacle of technological achievement, where robots can perform tasks and make decisions with the same level of independence, flexibility, and adaptability as humans (Cao, 2024). Unlike basic automation, which follows predefined instructions or responds to specific stimuli, human-level autonomy demands the capacity to understand complex environments, learn from experiences, and make real-time decisions in unpredictable situations. This level of autonomy would enable robots to operate effectively in dynamic environments, whether in factories, homes, or public spaces, without constant human supervision. Achieving this capability is essential for creating truly intelligent systems that can collaborate with humans, enhance productivity, and perform tasks that are currently beyond the reach of conventional automated systems (Javaid, Haleem, Singh, & Suman, 2021; Tyagi, Fernandez, Mishra, & Kumari, 2020).

* Corresponding author: Morayo Ogunsina

The importance of human-level autonomy in robotics cannot be overstated. In industrial contexts, such autonomy could revolutionize manufacturing by enabling robots to handle intricate tasks, adapt to changes in production lines, and work alongside human operators without constant reprogramming. In healthcare, autonomous robots could assist in surgeries, provide care for the elderly, or respond to emergencies, alleviating some burdens on medical professionals. Social robots with human-level autonomy could engage with individuals in meaningful ways, offering companionship, education, or customer service in a natural and intuitive manner (Cantone et al., 2023; Kaiser, Al Mamun, Mahmud, & Tania, 2021).

However, the challenges involved in achieving human-level autonomy are significant. Unlike humans, robots lack innate understanding, common sense reasoning, and emotional intelligence, which are crucial for navigating the real world. Moreover, the complexity of human cognition, which integrates perception, memory, decision-making, and learning, makes it difficult to replicate in robotic systems. The need for advanced cognitive architectures that can approximate these human capabilities is thus a major hurdle in the quest for human-level autonomy.

1.2 Problem Statement

Achieving human-level autonomy in robots is a multifaceted challenge beyond mere technical proficiency. It requires integrating various cognitive processes that humans perform effortlessly but are incredibly difficult to model computationally. For a robot to operate autonomously in a human-like manner, it must be capable of perceiving its environment accurately, recalling relevant past experiences, and making contextually appropriate and ethically sound decisions.

One of the key difficulties in achieving this lies in designing cognitive architectures that can support such advanced capabilities. Current architectures often excel in specific domains, such as perception or decision-making, but fail to integrate these functions in a way that mirrors human cognition. For instance, a robot might be able to identify objects in its environment (perception) but struggle to use this information effectively to make real-time (decision-making) decisions. Moreover, memory functions in robots are typically limited to storing and retrieving data without the nuanced understanding that human memory provides, such as the ability to generalize from past experiences or prioritize certain memories based on their relevance to current tasks.

The complexity of human cognition further complicates the development of robotic systems capable of human-level autonomy. Human cognition is not just about processing information; it involves a continuous interplay between perception, memory, learning, and decision-making. This dynamic process is influenced by emotions, motivations, and social contexts, which are difficult to quantify and replicate in machines. As a result, current cognitive architectures fall short of providing robots with the holistic understanding and adaptability needed for true autonomy.

1.3 Purpose of the Paper

The primary objective of this paper is to propose a comprehensive cognitive architecture that addresses the limitations of current models and moves closer to achieving human-level autonomy in robots. This proposed architecture aims to cohesively integrate perception, memory, and decision-making processes, enabling robots to operate more effectively in complex and dynamic environments. By building on existing research and identifying key areas for improvement, the paper seeks to contribute to developing more sophisticated autonomous systems that can function with a level of independence and flexibility comparable to humans.

In pursuit of this goal, the paper will review existing cognitive architectures used in autonomous robots, critically analyzing their strengths and weaknesses. This review will highlight the gaps in current models, particularly their ability to integrate different cognitive processes. Based on this analysis, the paper will propose a new architecture incorporating innovative features to enhance the robot's ability to perceive, learn, and make real-time decisions. This architecture will address current limitations and provide a foundation for future advancements in the field.

1.4 Significance and Applications

Achieving human-level autonomy in robots can potentially transform various industries and social contexts. In industrial applications, autonomous robots could significantly improve efficiency, safety, and productivity. For example, in manufacturing, robots with advanced cognitive architectures could handle complex assembly tasks, adapt to changes in production requirements, and work safely alongside human operators. In logistics, such robots could optimize supply chains by making real-time decisions based on changing conditions, such as traffic or weather.

In social and service contexts, the impact of human-level autonomy could be even more profound. Autonomous robots could provide personalized care for the elderly, assist in educational settings, or offer tailored customer service in retail environments. These robots would not only perform tasks but also interact with humans in socially and emotionally intelligent ways, enhancing the user experience and providing support in areas where human resources are limited (Cortellessa et al., 2021). Furthermore, the development of human-level autonomy raises important ethical and societal considerations. As robots become more autonomous, questions about their role in society, decision-making processes, and impact on employment and privacy will become increasingly relevant. This paper will also touch upon these issues, exploring how the proposed cognitive architecture could address some of these concerns while advancing the field of robotics.

2 Review of Existing Cognitive Architectures

2.1 Overview of Prominent Cognitive Architectures

Cognitive architectures are the underlying frameworks that dictate how autonomous robots perceive, process, and respond to their environments. Over the years, several cognitive architectures have been developed, each with unique approaches to replicating human-like cognition in machines. Prominent among these are the Soar, ACT-R, and Subsumption architectures, which have contributed significantly to the evolution of autonomous systems (Chandiok, Prakash, Siddiqi, & Chaturvedi, 2020; Zhang, 2020).

The Soar architecture is one of the earliest and most influential cognitive architectures. Developed by John Laird, Allen Newell, and Paul Rosenbloom in the 1980s, Soar was designed to model general intelligence by simulating human cognitive processes. It emphasizes using production rules to process information and make decisions, allowing the system to operate in complex environments by generating possible actions and selecting the most appropriate one based on its goals. Soar also includes a mechanism for learning from experience, enabling it to refine its decision-making process over time (Kornieiev, 2023; Vernon, 2022).

The ACT-R (Adaptive Control of Thought-Rational) is another well-established cognitive architecture developed by John R. Anderson. ACT-R is based on the idea that human cognition can be understood as a series of production rules that operate within a modular system. Each module represents a different aspect of cognition, such as memory, perception, or motor actions, and these modules interact to produce behavior. ACT-R is particularly known for its emphasis on memory and learning, where it uses a declarative memory system to store facts and a procedural memory system for skills. This architecture has been widely used in modeling human cognitive tasks. It has applications in human-computer interaction and educational technology b(Fisher, Houpt, & Gunzelmann, 2022).

In contrast to Soar and ACT-R's symbolic and rule-based approaches, the Subsumption architecture, developed by Rodney Brooks, adopts a more behavior-based approach. Instead of relying on centralized control and symbolic reasoning, Subsumption decomposes robotic behavior into layers of simple, task-specific modules that operate in parallel. These layers are arranged hierarchically, where higher layers can suppress or inhibit lower ones based on the robot's current needs. The subsumption architecture has succeeded in mobile robotics, enabling robots to navigate and interact with dynamic environments in real-time without complex planning or centralized control (Yuan et al., 2020).

2.2 Analysis of Strengths and Weaknesses

These cognitive architectures have strengths, particularly in specific robotics domains. The Soar architecture's strength lies in its ability to handle complex decision-making processes. Using production rules, Soar can generate and evaluate multiple potential actions, making it suitable for applications requiring flexibility and adaptability. Moreover, its built-in learning mechanisms allow it to improve its performance over time, making it a powerful tool for problem-solving and strategic thinking tasks. However, Soar's reliance on symbolic reasoning can be a limitation, as it struggles with tasks that require real-time processing or interaction with dynamic environments. The architecture's complexity can also lead to inefficiencies, particularly in scenarios that demand quick, reactive responses rather than deliberate reasoning (Lanza, 2021).

The ACT-R architecture excels in modeling human-like memory processes. It has been effective in applications that require the simulation of cognitive tasks, such as psychological experiments or educational software. Its modular approach allows for detailed simulations of specific cognitive functions, making it a valuable tool for understanding how humans learn and process information. However, ACT-R's focus on symbolic reasoning and rule-based decision-making, similar to Soar, limits its applicability in real-time, autonomous robotics. Its strength in memory modeling does not necessarily translate to effective real-world interaction, where sensory input and decision-making must be tightly integrated and processed rapidly (Wu, Souza, Ritter, & Lima Jr, 2023; Xu, 2022).

The Subsumption architecture offers a more practical approach to real-time autonomous behavior. Its decentralized and parallel processing design allows robots to react quickly to environmental changes, making it ideal for navigation, exploration, and simple object manipulation. The architecture's simplicity and robustness make it well-suited for mobile robots operating in dynamic and unstructured environments. However, Subsumption's simplicity is also its weakness. The lack of a centralized control system or complex reasoning capabilities means that Subsumption-based robots are limited to relatively simple tasks and struggle with higher-level cognitive functions such as planning, abstract thinking, or learning from experience. This architecture does not integrate memory or advanced decision-making processes in the way that Soar or ACT-R does, making it less effective for tasks that require such capabilities (X. Wang, Guo, & Gao, 2024).

2.3 Gaps in Current Architectures

While these cognitive architectures have laid the foundation for advances in autonomous robotics, they also highlight significant gaps that must be addressed to achieve human-level autonomy. One of the most critical gaps is the lack of integration between perception, memory, and decision-making processes. Human cognition is characterized by the seamless interaction of these components, allowing individuals to perceive their environment, recall relevant experiences, and make informed decisions almost instantaneously. In contrast, most existing architectures specialize in one or two of these areas while neglecting others.

For instance, Soar and ACT-R are strong in decision-making and memory modeling but struggle with real-time perception and interaction with dynamic environments. These architectures do not effectively integrate sensory data with memory and decision-making processes, leading to delays and inefficiencies in real-world applications. This limitation is particularly problematic when quick, adaptive responses are necessary, such as in autonomous driving or robotic surgery. The symbolic reasoning approach also lacks the flexibility and scalability to handle the vast and unstructured data that robots encounter in complex environments (Jones, 2022).

On the other hand, Subsumption's focus on behavior-based control allows for real-time interaction but at the expense of higher-level cognitive functions. This architecture does not incorporate mechanisms for learning from experience or making complex decisions based on past events, which are essential for tasks requiring long-term planning or adaptation to new situations. The lack of a memory component means that Subsumption-based robots cannot store and use information about their environment or previous experiences, limiting their ability to improve their performance over time (Nolfi, 2021).

Another significant gap is the inability of current architectures to handle the emotional and social aspects of human cognition. While robots do not need to replicate human emotions, the ability to understand and respond to human emotional cues is crucial for applications in social robotics. Current architectures do not account for these aspects, limiting their effectiveness in scenarios that involve human-robot interaction. For example, a robot that cannot recognize when a person is frustrated or anxious may fail to provide appropriate assistance or could even exacerbate the situation (Zuo, Pan, Zhang, & Yang, 2021).

Finally, the scalability and flexibility of existing architectures are often limited. Human cognition is remarkably adaptable, allowing people to perform a wide range of tasks in different environments. Current cognitive architectures, however, are often tailored to specific tasks or environments and struggle when applied outside their intended domain. This lack of generalization is a significant barrier to achieving human-level autonomy, as it prevents robots from transferring knowledge or skills from one context to another (Pinto et al., 2021).

3 Proposed Cognitive Architecture

3.1 Conceptual Design

The pursuit of human-level autonomy in robots necessitates a cognitive architecture that effectively mimics the complexity and adaptability of human cognition. The proposed cognitive architecture is designed holistically, integrating three core components: perception, memory, and decision-making. Each of these components plays a vital role in enabling robots to interact with their environments, learn from experiences, and make contextually appropriate and ethically sound decisions.

Perception is the foundation of architecture and is responsible for processing sensory input and constructing a coherent representation of the environment. This component incorporates advanced sensory modules, including visual, auditory, tactile, and proprioceptive sensors, allowing the robot to gather detailed information about its surroundings. Unlike traditional architectures that often rely on basic sensory processing, this proposed system employs deep learning techniques and multimodal data fusion to enhance the accuracy and depth of environmental understanding. The perception module is designed to continuously update the robot's understanding of the environment, enabling it to detect changes, recognize objects, and interpret human actions and emotions in real time.

Memory in this architecture is not merely a passive repository of information but an active component that plays a crucial role in decision-making and learning. The memory system is divided into two primary subsystems: episodic memory and semantic memory. Episodic memory stores information about specific events and experiences, enabling the robot to recall and learn from past interactions. On the other hand, semantic memory contains general knowledge about the world, including concepts, relationships, and rules that the robot has learned over time. This dual-memory system allows the robot to draw on past experiences when making decisions, ensuring that it can adapt to new situations based on what it has learned previously.

The decision-making component is the most complex part of the architecture, integrating information from the perception and memory systems to generate appropriate actions. This process is guided by rule-based reasoning, probabilistic models, and reinforcement learning. The rule-based reasoning component allows the robot to follow established guidelines and protocols, particularly in structured environments such as industrial settings. Probabilistic models help the robot handle uncertainty and make decisions when the outcome is not deterministic. Reinforcement learning, meanwhile, enables the robot to learn from the consequences of its actions, refining its decision-making process over time. This multifaceted approach ensures that the robot can make decisions that are rational and adaptable to the complexities of real-world environments.

3.2 Integration of Components

The true strength of the proposed cognitive architecture lies in the seamless integration of perception, memory, and decision-making processes. Unlike existing architectures, which often treat these components as isolated modules, this design emphasizes their interdependence, ensuring that each component informs and enhances the others.

The integration begins with the perception system, which continuously feeds data into the memory system. As the robot perceives its environment, relevant information is stored in episodic memory, creating a rich database of experiences that can be accessed during decision-making. For example, suppose the robot encounters a similar situation in the future. In that case, it can recall past experiences to predict potential outcomes and choose the most appropriate action. This integration allows the robot to learn from its environment and improve its performance over time, much like humans rely on past experiences to make informed decisions.

The memory system also interacts closely with the decision-making component. When the robot faces a decision, it accesses episodic and semantic memory to gather all relevant information. The decision-making system then processes this information, which uses rule-based reasoning, probabilistic models, and reinforcement learning to evaluate potential actions. The result is a decision that considers not only the environment's current state but also the robot's past experiences and general knowledge. This integration ensures the robot's actions are contextually appropriate and grounded in a comprehensive understanding of the situation.

Additionally, the architecture includes feedback loops between the decision-making and perception systems. After a decision is made and an action is taken, the perception system monitors the outcome and updates the memory system accordingly. This feedback allows the robot to learn from its successes and failures, continuously refining its decision-making process. By integrating perception, memory, and decision-making, the architecture enables robots to operate autonomously in complex and dynamic environments, adapting to new challenges and learning from experience, much like a human would.

3.3 Innovative Features

The proposed cognitive architecture introduces several innovative features that set it apart from existing models. One of the most significant innovations is the multimodal perception system, which integrates data from multiple sensors to create a more accurate and comprehensive understanding of the environment. This approach improves the robot's ability to perceive its surroundings. It enhances its capacity to interpret human actions and emotions, enabling more effective human-robot interaction.

Another innovative feature is the dual-memory system, which combines episodic and semantic memory to provide a richer and more flexible knowledge base. This dual-memory approach allows the robot to learn from specific experiences while developing a general understanding of the world. This capability is particularly important for tasks requiring detailed contextual knowledge and the ability to generalize across different situations.

The architecture also introduces a hybrid decision-making system that combines rule-based reasoning, probabilistic models, and reinforcement learning. This combination enables the robot to handle various decision-making scenarios, from highly structured tasks with clear rules to more ambiguous situations with uncertain outcomes. By incorporating reinforcement learning, the architecture allows the robot to improve its decision-making over time, adapting to new challenges and environments.

Finally, the proposed architecture emphasizes continuous learning and adaptation. The robot is constantly learning from its environment and refining its cognitive processes by integrating feedback loops. This continuous improvement is a key innovation moving the architecture closer to achieving human-level autonomy, enabling the robot to operate effectively in dynamic and unpredictable environments.

3.4 Scalability and Flexibility

Scalability and flexibility are critical aspects of the proposed cognitive architecture, ensuring that it can be adapted to various applications and environments. The architecture is designed to be modular, allowing different components to be scaled or modified independently based on the application's specific needs.

For instance, in industrial settings where precision and reliability are paramount, the perception and decision-making systems can be fine-tuned to prioritize accuracy and consistency. In contrast, in social robotics, where interaction with humans is a primary concern, the architecture can be adjusted to enhance the robot's ability to interpret and respond to human emotions and social cues.

The modular nature of the architecture also allows for scalability in terms of computational resources. The perception and decision-making components can be scaled up to handle more complex environments or scaled down for simpler tasks, making the architecture suitable for both high-performance robots and more resource-constrained systems. Additionally, the dual-memory system can be expanded as the robot accumulates more experiences, ensuring that the memory component remains effective even as the robot's knowledge base grows.

Flexibility is further enhanced by the architecture's hybrid decision-making system, allowing it to adapt to different decision-making scenarios. Whether the robot is navigating an unpredictable environment, interacting with humans, or performing a highly structured task, the decision-making system can be tailored to meet the specific requirements of the situation. This flexibility ensures that the architecture can be applied across various domains, from manufacturing and logistics to healthcare and social robotics.

4 Applications and Implications

4.1 Industrial Applications

The proposed cognitive architecture has significant potential to transform various industrial applications by enhancing the capabilities of autonomous robots. In the realm of manufacturing, the integration of advanced perception, memory, and decision-making systems can lead to robots that are not only more efficient but also more adaptable. Unlike traditional industrial robots programmed for repetitive tasks, robots equipped with this architecture can dynamically adjust to changes in the production line, such as variations in materials, unexpected obstacles, or changes in the assembly process (T. Wang, Zheng, Li, & Wang, 2024). For instance, an automotive assembly plant robot could use its perception system to identify a misplaced component and then leverage its decision-making capabilities to correct the error autonomously or alert human supervisors. This flexibility could lead to significant reductions in downtime and improvements in overall productivity.

In logistics, the architecture's ability to process real-time sensory data and make informed decisions could revolutionize how autonomous robots manage warehousing, inventory, and delivery systems. Current autonomous systems often struggle with the unpredictability of warehouse environments, where items may not always be where they are supposed to be, and paths may be blocked by other machinery or workers (Bathla et al., 2022). A robot using this cognitive architecture could navigate these environments more effectively, avoiding obstacles, optimizing its route, and even reconfiguring its tasks if new priorities arise. This would enhance the efficiency of warehouse operations and enable more sophisticated management of supply chains, especially in industries requiring rapid order fulfillment, such as e-commerce.

The architecture also has profound implications for autonomous vehicles, where integrating perception, memory, and decision-making is crucial. Autonomous vehicles must interpret a constantly changing environment, remember past interactions with similar scenarios, and make split-second decisions to ensure safety and efficiency. The proposed architecture's ability to learn from past experiences and apply that knowledge to new situations could significantly improve the reliability and safety of autonomous vehicles. For example, suppose a vehicle encounters an unusual road condition, such as a sudden construction site. In that case, it can recall similar experiences, assess the situation, and choose the safest route forward. This could enhance the performance of individual vehicles and the broader traffic management systems, leading to more efficient and safer transportation networks (Jebamikyous & Kashef, 2022; Y. Wang et al., 2023).

4.2 Social and Service Applications

Beyond industrial uses, the proposed cognitive architecture is also poised to substantially impact social and service applications, where robots are increasingly being integrated into human-centric environments. In social robots, which interact directly with people in various settings, understanding and responding to human emotions and social cues is crucial. The advanced perception system within this architecture, which includes the interpretation of facial expressions, gestures, and voice tones, allows robots to engage with humans more naturally and empathetically. This is particularly important in homes, schools, and elder care facilities, where robots are expected to provide companionship, education, or assistance. By leveraging its memory system, a social robot can recall past interactions with individuals, enabling personalized and contextually relevant responses, thus enhancing the quality of human-robot interaction (Dimitrievska & Ackovska, 2020).

In healthcare, the proposed architecture could significantly improve the capabilities of service robots, which are increasingly used to assist with patient care, rehabilitation, and hospital logistics. For instance, a healthcare robot could use its perception system to monitor a patient's vital signs or detect changes in their physical or emotional state. By integrating this data with its memory and decision-making systems, the robot could provide timely interventions, such as alerting medical staff, adjusting its care routine, or offering comfort to a distressed patient. This capability could be particularly valuable in managing chronic conditions, where continuous monitoring and personalized care are essential. Additionally, the architecture's ability to learn from experience means that healthcare robots could become more effective over time, adapting to the unique needs of individual patients and improving the overall quality of care (Foggia, Greco, Roberto, Saggese, & Vento, 2023).

In customer service, the proposed architecture could lead to the development of more responsive robots capable of handling a wider range of inquiries and tasks. Whether deployed in retail environments, hotels, or customer support centers, these robots could use their perception and memory systems to understand customer needs, recall previous interactions, and provide tailored assistance. This could enhance customer satisfaction and efficiency, particularly when human-like interaction and problem-solving are required. For instance, a service robot in a hotel could remember a guest's preferences from a previous stay and use that information to provide a more personalized experience during subsequent visits.

4.3 Ethical and Societal Considerations

Significant ethical and societal considerations must be addressed as robots approach human-level autonomy. One of the primary concerns is safety. While the proposed architecture enhances the robot's decision-making abilities, ensuring that these decisions do not harm humans or lead to unintended consequences is crucial. Robust safety protocols, such as fail-safes and ethical guidelines embedded within the decision-making system, are necessary to prevent accidents, especially in high-stakes environments like healthcare or autonomous vehicles. Additionally, the continuous learning aspect of the architecture raises questions about how to control and guide the robot's evolving behavior, ensuring that it remains aligned with human values and societal norms.

Privacy is another critical issue, particularly as robots integrate into personal and public spaces. The architecture's advanced perception and memory systems mean that robots can collect, store, and analyze vast amounts of personal data. Ensuring that this data is handled with the utmost care, with robust encryption and strict access controls, is essential to protect individual privacy. Moreover, clear guidelines and regulations must govern how robots collect, use, and share data, particularly in sensitive healthcare and home environments.

The rise of autonomous robots also has broader societal implications, particularly regarding employment and human-robot interaction. As robots become capable of performing tasks that humans traditionally did, there is a potential for job displacement, particularly in industries like manufacturing and logistics. While the proposed architecture enables robots to work alongside humans more effectively, it is essential to consider the impact on the workforce and explore ways to mitigate job loss, such as retraining programs and creating new roles in robot management and maintenance. Additionally, as robots become more integrated into daily life, understanding and managing the dynamics of human-robot interaction, including issues of trust, dependency, and social acceptance, will be crucial.

4.4 Future Prospects

The proposed cognitive architecture could pave the way for even more advanced forms of autonomy that surpass human-level capabilities in certain areas. One potential future development is the integration of collective intelligence, where multiple autonomous robots share information and collaborate on complex tasks. This could lead to more efficient and effective operations in scenarios like disaster response, where a coordinated effort is required to navigate dangerous environments, locate survivors, and deliver aid.

Another exciting prospect is the application of this architecture in exploration tasks, such as deep-sea or space exploration. Robots equipped with advanced cognitive systems could operate in environments that are too dangerous or inaccessible for humans, making decisions and adapting to conditions in real-time. The ability to learn from and adapt to such extreme environments could lead to discoveries and advancements in science and technology.

Finally, the architecture's continuous learning capabilities suggest that robots could eventually develop forms of meta-cognition, where they not only make decisions but also reflect on and improve their decision-making processes. This could lead to autonomous systems that are highly effective in their tasks and capable of self-improvement, driving innovation, and pushing the boundaries of what robots can achieve.

5 Conclusion

5.1 Summary of Key Points

This paper has explored the development of a comprehensive cognitive architecture aimed at achieving human-level autonomy in robots. The proposed architecture integrates perception, memory, and decision-making processes cohesively and innovatively, addressing many of the limitations found in existing models. By enhancing the robot's ability to perceive its environment, recall and learn from past experiences, and make informed decisions, this architecture moves closer to the goal of human-like adaptability and intelligence. The potential applications of this architecture are vast, ranging from industrial automation and logistics to social robotics and healthcare. Its modular design, scalability, and flexibility suit various environments and tasks. At the same time, its continuous learning capabilities ensure that the robot can improve over time, adapting to new challenges and scenarios.

5.2 Challenges and Future Research Directions

Despite the significant advancements offered by the proposed architecture, several challenges remain in pursuing true human-level autonomy. One of the primary challenges is ensuring that robots can operate safely and ethically in complex, real-world environments. As robots gain more autonomy, the need for robust safety protocols and ethical guidelines becomes increasingly critical. Future research must focus on developing these safeguards, particularly in high-stakes environments like healthcare and autonomous vehicles. Additionally, the continuous learning aspect of the architecture raises concerns about control and predictability. As robots learn and evolve, ensuring that their behavior remains aligned with human values and societal norms will require ongoing monitoring and possibly new forms of regulation.

Another challenge lies in the computational demands of the architecture, particularly in scenarios that require real-time processing of vast amounts of sensory data. Future research could explore ways to optimize the architecture's performance, perhaps through advancements in hardware or more efficient algorithms. Furthermore, while the proposed architecture is designed to be adaptable, more work is needed to ensure that it can be easily integrated with other emerging technologies, such as collective intelligence systems or advanced human-robot interaction frameworks. This integration will be crucial for expanding the architecture's applicability and effectiveness across different domains.

The journey towards achieving human-level autonomy in robotics is both exciting and complex. The proposed cognitive architecture represents a significant step forward, offering a more integrated and adaptable approach to autonomous decision-making. However, it is important to recognize that human-level autonomy is not just about replicating human intelligence but also about understanding and navigating the ethical, social, and technical challenges that come with it. As robots become more autonomous, their impact on society will grow, raising important questions about safety, privacy, employment, and human-robot relationships. Addressing these challenges will require collaboration across disciplines, including robotics, ethics, law, and social sciences.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Bathla, G., Bhadane, K., Singh, R. K., Kumar, R., Aluvalu, R., Krishnamurthi, R., . . . Basheer, S. (2022). Autonomous vehicles and intelligent automation: Applications, challenges, and opportunities. Mobile Information Systems, 2022(1), 7632892.

[2] Cantone, A. A., Esposito, M., Perillo, F. P., Romano, M., Sebillo, M., & Vitiello, G. (2023). Enhancing elderly health monitoring: Achieving autonomous and secure living through the integration of artificial intelligence, autonomous robots, and sensors. Electronics, 12(18), 3918.

[3] Cao, L. (2024). AI Robots and Humanoid AI: Review, Perspectives and Directions. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.15775.

[4] Chandiok, A., Prakash, A., Siddiqi, A., & Chaturvedi, D. (2020). Cognitive Computing Agent Systems: An Approach to Building Future Real-World Intelligent Applications. In Computational Science and its Applications (pp. 257-274): Chapman and Hall/CRC.

[5] Cortellessa, G., De Benedictis, R., Fracasso, F., Orlandini, A., Umbrico, A., & Cesta, A. (2021). AI and robotics to help older adults: Revisiting projects in search of lessons learned. Paladyn, Journal of Behavioral Robotics, 12(1), 356-378.

[6] Dimitrievska, V., & Ackovska, N. (2020). Behavior models of emotion-featured robots: A survey. Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems, 100(3), 1031-1053.

[7] Fisher, C. R., Houpt, J. W., & Gunzelmann, G. (2022). Fundamental tools for developing likelihood functions within ACT-R. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 107, 102636.

[8] Foggia, P., Greco, A., Roberto, A., Saggese, A., & Vento, M. (2023). A social robot architecture for personalized real-time human-robot interaction. IEEE Internet of Things Journal.

[9] Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., & Suman, R. (2021). Substantial capabilities of robotics in enhancing industry 4.0 implementation. Cognitive Robotics, 1, 58-75.

[10] Jebamikyous, H.-H., & Kashef, R. (2022). Autonomous vehicles perception (avp) using deep learning: Modeling, assessment, and challenges. IEEE Access, 10, 10523-10535.

[11] Jones, S. (2022). A Cognitive Architecture Realization of Event Cognition Capabilities.

[12] Kaiser, M. S., Al Mamun, S., Mahmud, M., & Tania, M. H. (2021). Healthcare robots to combat COVID-19. COVID-19: Prediction, decision-making, and its impacts, 83-97.

[13] Kornieiev, S. (2023). AGI-agent cognitive architecture AGICA-axiomatic approach.

[14] Lanza, F. (2021). Human-Robot Teaming Interaction: a Cognitive Architecture Solution.

[15] Nolfi, S. (2021). Behavioral and cognitive robotics: an adaptive perspective: Stefano Nolfi.

[16] Pinto, M. F., Honório, L. M., Marcato, A. L., Dantas, M. A., Melo, A. G., Capretz, M., & Urdiales, C. (2021). Arcog: An aerial robotics cognitive architecture. Robotica, 39(3), 483-502.

[17] Tyagi, A. K., Fernandez, T. F., Mishra, S., & Kumari, S. (2020). Intelligent automation systems at the core of industry 4.0. Paper presented at the International conference on intelligent systems design and applications.

[18] Vernon, D. (2022). Cognitive architectures.

[19] Wang, T., Zheng, P., Li, S., & Wang, L. (2024). Multimodal Human–Robot Interaction for Human-Centric Smart Manufacturing: A Survey. Advanced Intelligent Systems, 6(3), 2300359.

[20] Wang, X., Guo, Y., & Gao, Y. (2024). Unmanned Autonomous Intelligent System in 6G Non-Terrestrial Network. Information, 15(1), 38.

[21] Wang, Y., Jiang, J., Li, S., Li, R., Xu, S., Wang, J., & Li, K. (2023). Decision-making driven by driver intelligence and environment reasoning for high-level autonomous vehicles: a survey. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 24(10), 10362-10381.

[22] Wu, S., Souza, R. F., Ritter, F. E., & Lima Jr, W. T. (2023). Comparing LLMs for Prompt-Enhanced ACT-R and Soar Model Development: A Case Study in Cognitive Simulation. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the AAAI Symposium Series.

[23] Xu, R. (2022). Modeling Pilot Flight Performance on Pre-flight and Take-off Tasks with A Cognitive Architecture. University of Waterloo,

[24] Yuan, H., Li, S., Rusconi, P., Yuan, H., Li, S., & Rusconi, P. (2020). Cognitive approaches to human computer interaction. Cognitive Modeling for Automated Human Performance Evaluation at Scale, 5-15.

[25] Zhang, Z. (2020). An Affective Computing Multilayer Cognitive Architecture for Evolutionary Cognitive Robotics. Open Access Te Herenga Waka-Victoria University of Wellington,

[26] Zuo, G., Pan, T., Zhang, T., & Yang, Y. (2021). SOAR improved artificial neural network for multistep decision-making tasks. Cognitive Computation, 13(3), 612-625.